FD 33407

EI - 7886 Ca



## Catherine Glidden/STB 07/08/2008 09:04 AM

> > > the stone circles and cairns.

To <Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us>

cc Jason.Haug@state.sd.us

bcc

Subject RE: Stone Circles as TCPs

## Okay, thanks!

```
<Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us> wrote on 07/08/2008 08:45:23 AM:
> I have discussed this issue with several people from my office.
> There is no reason not to consider them as eligible and deal with
> them as a property type. Just a reminder, I only represent the views
> of South Dakota. The Wyoming and Minnesota SHPOs might have a
> different take on the issue.
> ----Original Message----
> From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov [mailto:Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov]
> Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 7:22 AM
> To: Hoskinson Olson, Paige
> Subject: RE: Stone Circles as TCPs
> Okay, thanks.
> FYI - Going into the call I am still standing firm on our position
> to determine stone cairns and circles eligible under "a." I really
> can't see any alternative and believe that the information provided
> by the SRST (and you ) is sufficient to make this determination.
> Let me know if you disagree with this approach, if you could, before
> the call so we can be in sync on this issue.
> Thanks,
> -Cathy
> <Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us> wrote on 07/07/2008 04:11:54 PM:
> > Sure, just let me know when.
> > ----Original Message----
> > From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov [mailto:Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov]
> > Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 11:41 AM
> > To: Hoskinson Olson, Paige
> > Subject: RE: Stone Circles as TCPs
> >
> > Paige:
> > Thanks. I appreciate your support. I'm taking considerable heat
> > from DM&E right now given our decision to find all cairns and stone
> > circles eligible. Would you be available for a conference call
> > with the railroad to discuss this issue?
> >
> > -Cathy
> >
>> <Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us> wrote on 07/07/2008 12:21:12 PM:
>> > I spoke with Tim Mentz, Russell Eagle Bear, Jim Whitten, etc.on
> > Thursday. I stressed the importance of providing comments concerning
```

```
> > I am still waiting to hear back from the ACHP on this issue. Fingers
> > > crossed they'll get back to me this week.
>>> ----Original Message----
> > From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov [mailto:Catherine.
> Glidden@stb.dot.gov]
> > Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 7:57 AM
> > > To: Hoskinson Olson, Paige
> > > Subject: Fw: Stone Circles as TCPs
> > >
> > > Paige:
>>> FYI - this is an email from DM&E regarding eligibility of stone
> > > circles and cairns.
>>> ---- Forwarded by Catherine Glidden/STB on 07/03/2008 08:54 AM -----
>> "Madson, Michael J." <Michael.Madson@hdrinc.com> wrote on 07/02/2008
> > > 05:15:41 PM:
> > >
>>> Thanks Cathy for the status update on the issue of site eligibility.
>>> Looks like STB and SD SHPO see eye to eye on this issue. I remain
>>> very interested in reactions from the Wyoming SHPO and the
>>> consulting parties. Are USFS and BLM up to speed on your decision? I
>>> am concerned that the strategy you and Paige develop may not be
> > > necessarily acceptable to Wyoming and Minnesota SHPOs and the
> > > consulting parties.
> > > >
>>> > I am also concerned about the long-term implications of such a
> > > determination, particularly the potential unanticipated breadth of
>>>> the scope for mitigation. DM&E must remain engaged in these
> > > discussions and have confidence that the project goals can be met in
>>> > light of this decision. I understand that I am speculating here, but
>>> DM&E needs to understand as best they can what actions today mean
>>> for the project tomorrow. We have all worked diligently over the
>>> years to improve upon the work done earlier and address outstanding
>>> issues that remain. Here is another one of those opportunities to
>>> > work together. Please engage DM&E as an equal partner in your
>>> discussions of eligibility and mitigation; they are poised to do
>>> what is right by the resource and the cooperating parties if they
>>> can see clearly how the project goals will be met.
>>> Thanks again for the update. Please advise as the agency
>>> consultation progresses.
> > > We have many other things to discuss. Do you plan on holding a
>>> conference call tomorrow? Herb and I are available
> > > >
> > > Mike
> > > >
>>> Michael J. Madson, M.S., RPA
>>>> HDR Engineering, Inc.
> > > > 612-501-6237
> > > >
> > > >
>>> From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov [mailto:Catherine.
> > Glidden@stb.dot.gov]
>>> Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 7:37 AM
>>> To: Madson, Michael J.
> > > Cc: dbibler@louisberger.com
```

```
>>> Subject: Re: FW: Stone Circles as TCPs
> > >
> > > I spoke to Paige and she said that she would NOT accept having stone
> > > circles and cairns not eligible for listing on the National Register
>>> and that in SD they assume eligibility for these types of sites.
>>> She recommended that we list them as eligible under "A" and that's
>>> what we're going to do. Any additional testing at this point is
>>> not necessary since we already know they are eligible. I will be
>>> meeting with Paige at the end of the month to talk about possible
>>> mitigation. However, for now this is how we are going to proceed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > -Cathy
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
>>> "Madson, Michael J." <Michael.Madson@hdrinc.com> wrote on 06/24/2008
> > > > 11:13:16 AM:
>>>> Please see the email string below from Cathy. This is a topic for
>>>> discussion during today's conference call.
>>>> My initial impression is that this is for the Burns and Mac report;
> > > > we have some ideas about how to address Paige's other writtem
>>>> comments as well.
> > > >
> > > > Mike
> > > >
>>>> Michael J. Madson, M.S., RPA
>>>> HDR Engineering, Inc.
> > > > 612-501-6237
> > > >
> > > >
>>>> From: Catherine.Glidden@stb.dot.gov [mailto:Catherine.
> > > Glidden@stb.dot.gov]
> > > > Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 6:38 AM
>>>> To: Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us
>>>> Cc: mentz_tim@yahoo.com; Madson, Michael J.; dbibler@louisberger.
>>>> com; jrezabek@louisberger.com; lbambrey@louisberger.com
> > > > Subject: Fw: Stone Circles as TCPs
> > > >
> > > >
>>>> Here it is again with the attachments! Sorry.
> > > > Catherine Glidden
> > > > Environmental Protection Specialist
>>>> Surface Transportation Board
>>>> Section of Environmental Analysis
> > > > Washington, DC 20423-0001
> > > > Phone: (202) 245-0293
>>>> Fax: (202) 245-0454
> > > >
>>>> > ---- Forwarded by Catherine Glidden/STB on 06/24/2008 07:34AM -----
> > > > Catherine Glidden/STB
> > > > 06/24/2008 07:34 AM
> > > >
> > > > To
> > > >
```

```
>>>> Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us
> > > >
> > > > cc
> > > >
>>>> dbibler@louisberger.com, jrezabek@louisberger.com,
>>>> lbambrey@louisberger.com, mentz tim@yahoo.com, Michael.
> > Madson@hdrinc.com
> > > >
> > > > Subject
> > > >
> > > > Fw: Stone Circles as TCPs
> > > >
> > > > Paige:
> > > >
>>>> Attached is documentation provided to us by the SRST regarding the
>>>> cultural importance of stone circles. As we discussed, I am going
> > > > to use this information to justify assessing stone circles as
> > > > eligible for listing in the National Register under Criterion A for
>>>> the DM&E project as a whole. I will also ask that DM&E provide us
> > > > with a recommendation of eligibility for stone circles features
>>>> under Criterion D, as either eligible or not eligible (rather than
>>>> "unevaluated) in their future reports to us. Either way, they will
>>>> still be considered eligible under Criterion A.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > > Cathy
> > > >
> > > > Catherine Glidden
> > > > Environmental Protection Specialist
> > > > Surface Transportation Board
>>>> Section of Environmental Analysis
> > > > Washington, DC 20423-0001
> > > > Phone: (202) 245-0293
>>>> Fax: (202) 245-0454
>>>> > ---- Forwarded by Catherine Glidden/STB on 06/24/2008 07:22AM -----
>>> > "Withrow, Randy" <rwithrow@louisberger.com> wrote on 06/16/2008
> > > 04:52:21 PM:
> > > >
> > > > > Hi Cathy,
> > > > >
>>>> Lucy received the attached information from one of the staff
>>>> archaeologists at Standing Rock. Doesn't alter our approach to
these
>>>> sites as probable TCPs, but very useful support documentation.
>>>>> The first document describes ceremonial uses of stone circles and
>>>>> denies that they were tipi locations. The second account
describes
>>>> how tipis or lodges were sometimes erected over burial scaffolds.
> > > > >
>>>> The second document makes no mention of stone circles associated
>>>>> with burial lodges, but in his email to Lucy, Byron seems to
>>>>> that stone circles may in fact be former lodge locations and
>>>> therefore possible burial sites even though would appear to
> > > > contradict the statements made in the first document.
> > > > >
```

```
>>>>> I'll forward these to Alan Stanfill.
> > > > >
>>>>> Randy Withrow
>>>>> Assistant Director, Cultural Resources
>>>>> The Louis Berger Group, Inc.
> > > > > 950 50th Street
> > > > > Marion, Iowa 52302
>>>>>>>319-373-3043 office
>>>>>>319-373-3045 fax
>>>>> www.culturalresourcegroup.com
>>>> This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain
>>>> privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely
>>>> for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you
>>>> > not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver
>>>> to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In suchcase,
you
> > > > should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and
>>>>> kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person
> > > > with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group, Inc.,
>>>>> (LBG) the information and statements herein do not constitute a
>>>>> binding commitment or warranty by LBG. LBG assumes no
>>>>> responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or
misunderstandings.
>>>> You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and
report
>>>>> any errors/concerns to us in writing.
> > > > >
>>>> From: Bambrey, Lucy
> > > > > Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 2:58 PM
>>>> To: Bibler, David; Withrow, Randy
>>>>> Cc: Greg Newberry
>>>>> Subject: SRST info
> > > > >
> > > > > Hi guys-
> > > > >
>>>> I am working with Byron Olson at Standing Rock on a Missouri River
>>>>> project. He sent me a couple documents to share; I do not know if
>>>>> you have seen these:
> > > > >
> > > > >
>>>> Also attached are two documents (which I promised you a year ago!)
>>>> that you can share with your people overseeing the DM&E railroad
>>>> business. Among the kinds of sites that the Tribes consistently
>>>> describe as TCPs are stone circles. Supporting this assessment
>>>>> two kinds of information. First, some stone circles may be burial
>>>> locations. Attached is a document summarizing D/Lakota use of
>>>>> as burial lodges. Second, stone circles were used in a variety of
>>>>> ceremonies. Attached is a second document which is a
transcription
>>>> of comments made by Frank Zahn, an enrolled member here onStanding
>>>>> Rock, in a 1950 interview with Missouri River Basin Survey
>>>>> personnel. Zahn describes some of the sacred uses of stone
circles.
>>>>> His comments were made decades before there was any thought of
>>>>> protecting Native American sacred sites.
>>>> Formal TCP determinations require on-site visits by elders. But
```

```
in
> > > > lieu of such a visit the two documents on stone circles can be
used
>>>> as a basis for assessing all stone circles as potential TCPs.
> > > > >
>>>>> I hope this is helpful.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sincerely,
>>>>> STANDING ROCK SIOUX TRIBE
> > > > Byron Olson
>>>> Tribal Archaeologist
> > > > >
> > > > >
>>>>> I will leave it to you whether to pass these on to HDR &
>>>>> Contractors. . . Cheers!
> > > > >
> > > > > Lucy Hackett Bambrey
>>>>> Senior Project Manager
> > > > >
> > > > > Main: 303-985-6600
> > > > > Direct: 303-985-6604
> > > > > Cell: 303-898-6386
>>>>> Fax: 303-984-4942
>>>>> lbambrey@louisberger.com
> > > > [attachment "Sacred use of stone circles.doc" deleted by Catherine
> > > Slidden/STB] [attachment "Ethnographic Notes on Sioux Burial Lodges.
>>> > doc" deleted by Catherine Glidden/STB}
```